

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

JOAO CONTROL & MONITORING
SYSTEMS, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

TELULAR CORPORATION,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. _____

**COMPLAINT FOR PATENT
INFRINGEMENT**

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiff Joao Control & Monitoring Systems, LLC (“Plaintiff” or “JCMS”), by and through its undersigned counsel, files this Complaint against Defendant Telular Corporation (“Defendant” or “Telular”) as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is a patent infringement action to stop Defendant's infringement of Plaintiff's United States Patent No. 6,587,046 entitled “*Monitoring Apparatus and Method*” (the “‘046 Patent”; a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A) and United States Patent No. 7,397,363 entitled “*Control and/or Monitoring Apparatus and Method*” (the “‘363 Patent”; a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit B) (collectively, “the Patents-in-Suit”). Plaintiff is the owner of the Patents-In-Suit. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief and monetary damages.

PARTIES

2. JCMS is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the state of Delaware. Plaintiff maintains its principal place of business at 122 Bellevue Place, Yonkers (Westchester County), New York, 10703. Plaintiff is the owner of the Patents-in-Suit, and possesses all rights thereto, including the right to exclude the Defendant from making, using,

selling, offering to sell or importing in this district and elsewhere into the United States the patented invention(s) of the Patents-in-Suit, the right to license the Patents-in-Suit, and to sue the Defendant for infringement and recover past damages.

3. Upon information and belief, Telular is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware since April 30, 1993 and has its principal place of business located at 200 South Wacker Drive, Suite 1800, Chicago, Illinois, 60606. Upon information and belief, Telular may be served through its registered agent, William Steckel, 200 South Wacker Drive, Suite 1800, Chicago, Illinois, 60606.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This action arises under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*, including 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281, 283, 284, and 285. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this case for patent infringement under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

5. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because: Defendant has minimum contacts within the State of Illinois and in the Northern District of Illinois; Defendant has purposefully availed itself of the privileges of conducting business in the State of Illinois and in the Northern District of Illinois; Defendant has sought protection and benefit from the laws of the State of Illinois; Defendant regularly conducts business within the State of Illinois and within the Northern District of Illinois, and Plaintiff's causes of action arise directly from Defendant's business contacts and other activities in the State of Illinois and in the Northern District of Illinois.

6. More specifically, Defendant, directly and/or through its intermediaries, ships, distributes, offers for sale, sells, and/or advertises (including the provision of interactive web pages) its products and services in the United States, the State of Illinois, and the Northern

District of Illinois. Upon information and belief, Defendant has committed patent infringement in the State of Illinois and in the Northern District of Illinois. Defendant solicits customers in the State of Illinois and in the Northern District of Illinois. Defendant has many paying customers who are residents of the State of Illinois and the Northern District of Illinois and who use Defendant's products and services in the State of Illinois and in the Northern District of Illinois.

7. Venue is proper in the Northern District of Illinois pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b).

BACKGROUND

8. The Patents-in-Suit were duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office to Mr. Raymond A. Joao after full and fair examination. Mr. Joao assigned all rights, title and interest in and to the Patents-in-Suit to JCMS, giving JCMS the right to exclude Defendant from making, using, selling, offering to sell or importing in this district and elsewhere in the United States the patented invention(s) of the Patents-in-Suit, and the right to license the Patents-in-Suit, collect damages and initiate lawsuits against the Defendant.

9. Upon information and belief, Defendant has had actual knowledge of the specification and issued claims of the Patents-in-Suit since, at the very latest, the date of service of this Complaint.

10. On information and belief, Defendant owns, operates, advertises, implements, or controls its website, www.telguard.com (either directly or through a third-party), as well as hardware, functionality modules and/or programming modules to support its products and services. In addition to providing information about Defendant's products and services, including how to obtain them, this website provides support to Defendant's customers by

providing access to product brochures, installation manuals and instructional videos to assist customers in implementing Defendant's products and services.

11. On information and belief, Defendant offers its customers products and services that infringe the Patents-in-Suit, including but not limited to: Telular's control and monitoring devices and systems, consisting of various hardware and software components advertised and sold under the product names "Telguard HomeControl," "Telguard Interactive," as well as others, consisting of various combinations of controls and sensors (e.g., Z-Wave control switches, alarm communicators, video cameras, etc.) which can be operated and controlled through remote access via interactive cellular and/or broadband connections via one or more online portals (hereinafter, the "Accused Products and Services").

COUNT I: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,587,046

12. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference each of Paragraphs 1 - 11 above.

13. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe the '046 Patent either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Upon information and belief, Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '046 Patent by making, using, providing, and/or importing, directly or through intermediaries, in this district and elsewhere in the United States, a monitoring apparatus that consists of at least a video surveillance camera (e.g., Telguard's TGHC camera) located at a premises and the Telguard HomeControl online portal ("online portal"), located remote from the premises, which can be accessed by a user from a computer or mobile device running the Telguard HomeControl app from a location remote from both the premises and the online portal. Video from the video surveillance camera is received by the Telguard Communication Center that hosts the Telguard HomeControl online portal, which in turn can send the video to a computer or a smartphone

running the Telguard HomeControl app. Infringing conduct regarding this apparatus and its attendant functions and methods take place in this district and elsewhere in the United States, enabled by and accessed through Defendant's website, the Telguard HomeControl online portal, and/or computers and/or mobile devices that access the online portal.

14. Upon information and belief, Defendant has intentionally induced and continues to induce infringement of one or more claims of the '046 Patent in this district and elsewhere in the United States, by its intentional acts which have successfully, among other things, encouraged, instructed, enabled, and otherwise caused Defendant's customers to use the Accused Products and Services in an infringing manner. Despite knowledge of the '046 Patent as early as the day this Complaint was served on Defendant, Defendant, upon information and belief, continues to encourage, instruct, enable, and otherwise cause its customers to use its systems and methods in a manner which infringes the '046 Patent. Based upon information and belief, Defendant's source of revenue and business focus is on the provision of and sale of the Accused Products and Services. Upon information and belief, Defendant has specifically intended its customers to use its systems and methods in such a way that infringes the '046 Patent by, at a minimum, providing and supporting the Accused Products and Services and instructing its customers on how to use them in an infringing manner, at least through information available on Defendant's websites, including product brochures, installation manuals and instructional videos. Defendant knew that its actions, including, but not limited to any of the aforementioned systems, would induce, have induced, and will continue to induce infringement by its customers by continuing to sell, support, and instruct its customers on using the Accused Products and Services.

15. Upon information and belief, Defendant has contributed to and continues to contribute to the infringement of one or claims of the '046 Patent in this district and elsewhere in the United States, by its intentional acts which have successfully, among other things, encouraged, instructed, enabled and otherwise caused Defendant's customers to use the Accused Products and Services in an infringing manner. Defendant has had knowledge of the '046 Patent as early as the day this Complaint was served on Defendant. Upon information and belief, Defendant knew and/or knows that the Accused Products and Services are especially made and/or adapted for user(s) to infringe one or more claims of the '046 Patent and, therefore, are not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use. Defendant's sole purpose of business and business revenues stem from sales of the Accused Products and Services which are solely geared towards remote monitoring and control apparatuses and remote monitoring and control methods. Upon information and belief, Defendant has specifically intended and/or specifically intends that its customers use the Accused Products and Services in such a way that infringes the '046 Patent by, at minimum, providing access to, support for, and training and instructions for said Accused Products and Services, through information available on Defendant's websites, including product brochures, installation manuals and instructional videos.

16. Defendant's aforesaid activities have been without authority and/or license from Plaintiff.

17. Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by Plaintiff as a result of Defendant's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

18. Defendant's infringement of Plaintiff's rights under the '046 Patent will continue to damage Plaintiff, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by this Court.

COUNT II: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,397,363

19. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference each of Paragraphs 1 - 11 above.

20. The '363 Patent was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on July 8, 2008, after full and fair examination. Plaintiff is the owner of the '363 Patent and possesses all substantive rights and rights of recovery under the '363 Patent, including the right to sue for infringement and recover past damages.

21. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe the Patents-in-Suit either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Upon information and belief, Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the Patents-in-Suit by making, using, providing, and/or importing, directly or through intermediaries, in this district and elsewhere in the United States, an apparatus that consists of communicators and/or control panels, located at a premises, and the Telguard HomeControl online portal and MyTelguard.com online portal ("online portals"), located remote from the premises, which can be accessed by a user from a computer or mobile device from a location remote from both the premises and the web portal. A computer or mobile device logged into one of the online portals sends a signal over the Internet to the online portal, located remotely from the premises. If that signal is deemed authorized via proper credentials, a different signal is then sent to a Telguard device (e.g., communicator) or Telguard control panel, which activates an associated premise device (e.g., a security system). Infringing conduct regarding this apparatus and its attendant functions and methods take place in this district and elsewhere in the United

States, enabled by and accessed through Defendant's website, the Telguard HomeControl online portal, the MyTelguard.com online portal, and/or computers and/or mobile devices that access the online portals.

22. Upon information and belief, Defendant has intentionally induced and continues to induce infringement of one or more claims of the '363 Patent in this district and elsewhere in the United States, by its intentional acts which have successfully, among other things, encouraged, instructed, enabled, and otherwise caused Defendant's customers to use the Accused Products and Services in an infringing manner. Despite knowledge of the '363 Patent as early as the day this Complaint was served on Defendant, Defendant, upon information and belief, continues to encourage, instruct, enable, and otherwise cause its customers to use its systems and methods in a manner which infringes the '363 Patent. Based upon information and belief, Defendant's source of revenue and business focus is on the provision of and sale of the Accused Products and Services. Upon information and belief, Defendant has specifically intended its customers to use its systems and methods in such a way that infringes the '363 Patent by, at a minimum, providing and supporting the Accused Products and Services and instructing its customers on how to use them in an infringing manner, at least through information available on Defendant's websites, including product brochures, installation manuals and instructional videos. Defendant knew that its actions, including, but not limited to any of the aforementioned systems, would induce, have induced, and will continue to induce infringement by its customers by continuing to sell, support, and instruct its customers on using the Accused Products and Services.

23. Upon information and belief, Defendant has contributed to and continues to contribute to the infringement of one or claims of the '363 Patent in this district and elsewhere in

the United States, by its intentional acts which have successfully, among other things, encouraged, instructed, enabled and otherwise caused Defendant's customers to use the Accused Products and Services in an infringing manner. Defendant has had knowledge of the '363 Patent as early as the day this Complaint was served on Defendant. Upon information and belief, Defendant knew and/or knows that the Accused Products and Services are especially made and/or adapted for user(s) to infringe one or more claims of the '363 Patent and, therefore, are not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use. Defendant's sole purpose of business and business revenues stem from sales of the Accused Products and Services which are solely geared towards remote monitoring and control apparatuses and remote monitoring and control methods. Upon information and belief, Defendant has specifically intended and/or specifically intends that its customers use the Accused Products and Services in such a way that infringes the '363 Patent by, at minimum, providing access to, support for, and training and instructions for said Accused Products and Services, through information available on Defendant's websites, including product brochures, installation manuals and instructional videos.

24. Defendant's aforesaid activities have been without authority and/or license from Plaintiff.

25. Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by Plaintiff as a result of Defendant's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

26. Defendant's infringement of Plaintiff's rights under the '363 Patent will continue to damage Plaintiff, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by this Court.

JURY DEMAND

27. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court find in its favor and against Defendant, and that the Court grant Plaintiff the following relief:

- A. An adjudication that one or more claims of the Patents-in-Suit have been infringed, either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by the Defendant and/or its customers;
- B. An adjudication that Defendant has induced infringement of one or more claims of the Patents-in-Suit;
- C. An adjudication that Defendant has contributed to the infringement of one or more claims of the Patents-in-Suit;
- D. An award of damages to be paid by Defendant adequate to compensate Plaintiff for its past infringement and any continuing or future infringement up until the date such judgment is entered, including interest, costs, and disbursements as justified under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and, if necessary to adequately compensate Plaintiff for Defendant's infringement, an accounting of all infringing sales including, but not limited to, those sales not presented at trial;

- E. A grant of permanent injunction pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283, enjoining the Defendant from further acts of infringement with respect to the claims of the Patents-in-Suit;
- F. That this Court declare this to be an exceptional case and award Plaintiff its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 285; and,
- G. Any further relief that this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: December 8, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Mark R. Miller

Mark R. Miller

WEXLER WALLACE LLP

55 West Monroe, Suite 3300

Chicago, Illinois 60603

Telephone: (312) 589-6280

Facsimile: (312) 346-0022

Email: mrm@wexlerwallace.com

***Liaison Counsel for Plaintiff Joao Control &
Monitoring Systems, LLC***

Steven W. Ritcheson, *Pro Hac Vice Anticipated*

HENINGER GARRISON DAVIS, LLC

9800 D Topanga Canyon Blvd. #347

Chatsworth, California 91311

Telephone: (818) 882-1030

Facsimile: (818) 337-0383

Email: switcheson@hgdllawfirm.com

Dara T. Jeffries, *Pro Hac Vice Anticipated*

Joseph J. Gleason, *Pro Hac Vice Anticipated*

HENINGER GARRISON DAVIS, LLC

3621 Vinings Slope, Suite 4320

Atlanta, GA 30339

Telephone: (404) 996-0867, 0862

Facsimile: (205) 547-5515, 5518

Email: djeffries@hgdllawfirm.com

Email: jgleason@hgdllawfirm.com

Rene A. Vazquez, *Pro Hac Vice Anticipated*

HENINGER GARRISON DAVIS, LLC

18296 St. Georges Ct.

Leesburg, Virginia 20176

Telephone: (571) 206-1375
Facsimile: (205) 327-9114
Email: rvazquez@hgdllawfirm.com

Maureen V. Abbey, *Pro Hac Vice Anticipated*
HENINGER GARRISON DAVIS, LLC
220 St. Paul Street
Westfield, New Jersey 07090
Telephone: (908) 379-8475
Facsimile: (205) 326-3332
Email: maureen@hgdllawfirm.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiff Joao Control & Monitoring
Systems, LLC*